

A Boost to the Upper Bound on the Variance in [Liang and Rakhlin \[2020\]](#)

Tengyuan Liang^{*1}

¹University of Chicago, Booth School of Business

January 6, 2021

Abstract

In this document, we provide a boost to the upper bound on the Variance, derived in [Liang and Rakhlin \[2020\]](#). The boost to the upper bound provides easier interpretation, and further connects to the population eigenvalues of the covariance matrix.

Keywords— Minimum-norm interpolation, kernel ridgeless regression.

In the Theorem 1 of [Liang and Rakhlin \[2020\]](#), the variance upper bound \mathbf{V} can be boosted to

$$\mathbf{V} \leq \frac{C\sigma^2}{\gamma} \cdot \inf_{0 \leq k \leq d} \left\{ \lambda_1(\Sigma) \frac{k}{n} + \lambda_{k+1}(\Sigma) \right\}, \quad (0.1)$$

where $\lambda_i(\Sigma), 1 \leq i \leq d$ are the population eigenvalues sorted in a non-increasing order. All the notations follow from the original paper.

To see this, let's only consider the case with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ (this can be done by centering and scaling the kernel). The full expression in \mathbf{V} in Page 1339 of [Liang and Rakhlin \[2020\]](#) reads

$$\mathbf{V} \leq 8\sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \mu} \left\| \left(\gamma I + \frac{XX^*}{d} \right)^{-1} \frac{X\mathbf{x}}{d} \right\|^2 = 8\sigma^2 \cdot \text{Tr} \left(\left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} X \Sigma X^* \left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} \right) \quad (0.2)$$

Denote $\Sigma = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j(\Sigma) \cdot u_j u_j^*$ as the eigenvalue decomposition of the population covariance matrix. Take any $1 \leq k \leq d$. Denote $\Sigma_{>k} = \sum_{j>k} \lambda_j(\Sigma) \cdot u_j u_j^*$, for this high frequency component we have

$$\text{Tr} \left(\left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} X \Sigma_{>k} X^* \left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} \right) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(\Sigma) \cdot \text{Tr} \left(\left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} X X^* \left(d\gamma I + XX^* \right)^{-1} \right) \quad (0.3)$$

$$\leq \lambda_{k+1}(\Sigma) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i(XX^T)}{(d\gamma + \lambda_i(XX^T))^2} \quad (0.4)$$

$$\leq \lambda_{k+1}(\Sigma) n \frac{1}{4d\gamma} \leq \frac{C}{4\gamma} \cdot \lambda_{k+1}(\Sigma) \quad (0.5)$$

^{*}tengyuan.liang@chicagobooth.edu. The author acknowledges the NSF Career Award and the George C. Tiao Fellowship for the support.

where the last line uses Remark 5.1 in Liang and Rakhlin [2020], $\frac{t}{(r+t)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4r}$ for all $a, r > 0$. This proof is identical to that in Liang and Rakhlin [2020]. The last step also uses the fact $d \asymp n$.

Now for the low frequency component, $\Sigma_{\leq k} = \sum_{j \leq k} \lambda_j(\Sigma) \cdot u_j u_j^*$, note that $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\leq k} + \Sigma_{> k}$. Denote $P_{u_j}^\perp := I - u_j u_j^* \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ the projection matrix to the orthogonal complement of u_j , we have

$$\text{Tr} \left((d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} X \Sigma_{\leq k} X^* (d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} \right) \leq \sum_{j \leq k} \lambda_j(\Sigma) \cdot \left\| (d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} X u_j \right\|^2 \quad (0.6)$$

with the definition $v := X u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $M := d\gamma I + X P_{u_j}^\perp X^*$, we continue to bound

$$\left\| (d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} X u_j \right\|^2 = \left\| (M + v v^*)^{-1} v \right\|^2 \quad (0.7)$$

$$= \left\| \left(M^{-1} - \frac{M^{-1} v v^* M^{-1}}{1 + v^* M^{-1} v} \right) v \right\|^2 \quad \text{Woodbury formula} \quad (0.8)$$

$$= \frac{v^* M^{-2} v}{(1 + v^* M^{-1} v)^2} \leq \frac{1}{d\gamma} \frac{v^* M^{-1} v}{(1 + v^* M^{-1} v)^2} \quad \text{recall } \lambda_{\min}(M) > d\gamma \quad (0.9)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\gamma} \frac{1}{d}, \quad (0.10)$$

where the last line again uses Remark 5.1 in Liang and Rakhlin [2020]. Therefore recalling $d \asymp n$

$$\text{Tr} \left((d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} X \Sigma_{\leq k} X^* (d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} \right) \leq \sum_{j \leq k} \lambda_j(\Sigma) \cdot \left\| (d\gamma I + XX^*)^{-1} X u_j \right\|^2 \quad (0.11)$$

$$\leq k \lambda_1(\Sigma) \cdot \frac{1}{4\gamma d} \leq \frac{C}{4\gamma} \cdot \lambda_1(\Sigma) \frac{k}{n}. \quad (0.12)$$

The proof is now complete by combining Equations (0.5) and (0.12).

References

Tengyuan Liang and Alexander Rakhlin. Just interpolate: Kernel ‘‘Ridgeless’’ regression can generalize. *The Annals of Statistics*, 48(3):1329–1347, June 2020. doi: 10.1214/19-AOS1849.